## The "Gnomes" of the Council of Nicaea

What follows are drafts of a text and translation of the gnomes transmitted in the Coptic tradition with material relating to the Council of Nicaea.

These are a work in progress. Beyond a great deal of checking, which needs to be undertaken, I intend to provide an introduction and commentary. I also suspect that there are some fragments of this work elsewhere among the Turin papyri, which will in due course be added.

My interest and expertise is in the church order tradition and the history of liturgy; that is the reason for my engagement with this text. I am not a Coptogist. The work in progress has an intended audience of students of early Christian literature and church orders. However, I wish to provide a text with my discussion of the document for this audience so that, on the basis that the existing editions are hard to find, those who had some knowledge of Coptic might be enabled to check the text if there was something of particular concern. The main purpose of the work is to provide a translation with introduction and commentary with a view to making this text better known and to advance the debate regarding church orders by allowing us to map these "gnomes" as part of that tradition.

However, in undertaking the task of providing the text I have noted major variations between the versions. The text is based on T (see the sigla below) on the grounds that this is the most complete of the texts, and possibly the earliest. However, there are occasions where I have preferred a version to T. Lammeyer (see the sigla below) has done the same very thoroughly, noting all variations between P and the other versions, but because of the arrangement of his work it is hard to use.

In view of my own limitations in this regard I would be very grateful to anyone with proper expertise in Coptic who might take time to look at these drafts and to alert me to the many errors which no doubt lurk below. Updated versions will be posted at intervals.

Alistair C. Stewart

vicar@uptoncumchalvey.org.uk

## Sigla:

B: Borgia collection, 239, 73-84, now in the Museo archeologico nazionale di Napoli. Published by Eugène Revillout, *Le Concile de Nicée d'après les textes Coptes: nouvelle série de documents* (Paris: Maisonneuve, 1876)

Lammeyer: v. sub P.

P: Bibliotheque nationale Copte-sahidique 129 14/75-82. Edited by Joseph Lammeyer *Die sogenannte Gnomen des Concils von Nicaea: ein homiletischer Traktat des 4 Jahrhunderts* (Beirut: np, 1912)

Revillout: v. sub T.

Rossi: v sub T.

T: Museo Egizio di Torino, cat. 63000. Edited by Eugène Revillout, *Le Concile de Nicée d'après les textes Coptes: première série de documents* (Paris: Maisonneuve, 1873) and again by Francesco Rossi, *Trascizione di alcuni testi copti tratti dai papiri del Museo Egizio di Torino* (Turin: Loescher, 1884).

**Note:** Rossi's transcription of T is far superior to that of Revillout, who seems to have introduced several errors and seems to have been able to read far less than Rossi. Rossi provides an Italian translation, and Revillout a partial translation into French. When publishing B Revillout was content to reprint his translation of T. Lammeyer provides a German translation of P, supplemented by a translation of T where P is defective.

## пгишмн $\bar{n}$ тсүнодос етоуаав $^1$

петоуфф есфтй йса пиоуте чиабісин епецентолн. Паі де йтеіміне нафорпф етекклнсіа имние.  $^{14}$  пете ифффрй ймоц ан етекклнсіа ецамелеі епецоудаї ймін ймоц.  $^{15}$  петинт гар ератф йпноуте ецфіне йса оувоновіа форпк  $^{16}$  форпк  $^{17}$  етекклнсіа йнйсфс атектехин декас ере пиоуте смоу енервнуе йнекбід. Петффрй ймоц етецтехин пара пні йпноуте ере пецефв наффпе рй оумйтатроте. Амарте йнентаксотмоу рй пні йпноуте кан екррфв н екмоофе ауф ий нариове ан пе.  $^{19}$  оуй петціфп еренинсе оуфф ероц рй текклнсіа. Чсотй

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  So TB, though preceded by αθανασίος λόγος. This, however, may have reference to the vita of Athanasius which precedes the gnomes in this codex. However in B it is preceded by τεγνέοδος ννίκαια. Cf. P: [ναι νε] νεκα[ν]ων ντέκ[κλη] cia [ντας] ε[αι]οος νεί ιππολίτος να[ρχεπις]κο[πος ε]τογάαβ [νέ] ρωμή ναι νε νε[γ]νοωμή ντέχν[ε]οδος ε[τογάαβ].

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  παποείς πε is in a secondary hand. Revillout suggests that it should read ογαπαθός, however B reads  $\overline{\text{1c}}$  πέχοεις πε and P reads πέχο  $\overline{\text{1c}}$  πεπαθές αγω πνούτε πε.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  пиоүте йитų гоусіте ТВ. Р: пиоүте йи[т $\bar{q}$  фооп] архн.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> тнроү Р. От ТВ.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  ач $^{\dagger}$  оуаудохоусюм де йнет гиптнри декас ере непрогарнск оуши $\bar{z}$  евох ТВ. Сf. Р: ач $^{\dagger}$  оуаудохоусюм иптнри.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> дє Р. от. ТВ

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  хастоү... пеүтаю ТВ. Сf. P: хастоү нөг теүпрогагрестс сүранац мпноүтс тнроү гм пеүгнү мин миооү ми пеүтаю. Although the meaning is the same, the divergence is odd, and in particular the use of Coptic words rather than Greek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> π̄c̄рҳрєіа an πλααγ ḡn nentaγcontoγ T. P: π̄ceрҳріа an євоλ ḡn nentaγcome thpoγ. π̄c̄рҳріа an πλααγ євоλ ḡn nentaγcome thpoγ. Given the agreement of P and B against T we might well adopt this reading.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> T reads εγογογχαι. Corrected following B.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  оүте йнечсит... йпечсоуша от. Р.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> πᡇ πνω νεγ is inserted in a second hand in T above the line. В reads ν̄сωντ γωρ τηρογ ν̄πνογτε πεqπνω αγχωνε ν̄νοογ. P is distinct, reading ν̄сωντ γωρ τηρογ ν̄πνογτε πεqπνω φωρτρογω. In spite of textual confusion the overall meaning is clear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> This line is completed following BP, where T is damaged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> йпроме РВ. Сf. Т: йпноүте.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> ммниє Р. От. ТВ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> йнийноц Т. ВР: мауаац.

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  ечшие йса оувонова Т. ВР: ечс фоуг нач егоун йоувонова.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> P reads koyne.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> P inserts τηρογ here.

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>$  петффрп ... нарнове ан пе от. Р.

єпаї йої петйкотк пецні. Єүмоүте єтеккансіа де пма йеіш євоа ййове.  $^{20}$  ауш маре поуапоуа ріме єдй нецнове.  $^{21}$  оукоуї гар пе пепаде дідй пкад.  $^{22}$  єтве паї фює єрон єрунве єдй неннове.

пров йтеккансіа пефана миате гі сопс. Петфаде гй теккансіа маліста буюф бусоф йпноуте. Оў пе прну де аквож апні йпноуте єфде кнавож броун ємау нісоффі. Пноуте мен мілаау наєфсоффі. Теуфусіс гар табіну броую биєтфооп тнроу.  $^{23}$  петтафо де йтеутінфріа пе петнатолна брівол йпоуффі йпноуте.

петбифт  $\bar{n}$ са оусене  $2\bar{n}$  теккансіа ечтафо нач  $\bar{n}$ таколасіс. тесеіне де еткоснеї  $\bar{n}$ нос епні йпноутє оуаюнт пє песраі міпесеют. $^{24}$  таі де йтеіміне насфри йтес $\gamma$ хн. оусріме ECTNOYB EPOC ECNABOK $^{25}$  ETEKKAHCIA MAAICTA TETOYONZ MMOOY EBOA OYPEYOMODEEIAODAON те. Йпноүв таенү ан йаррй псофос йөе тепістин ййвал. тетфореі йгеплооу едй тесапе εσουμην εβου μπεςμήταθης αλώ τετέρε μέσης βην έβου έλε μσι με έλο μάθκιν έκκυρει ЙРЕНАӨНТ. ОҮСРІМЕ ЕФАҮМЕРІТС РІТЙ ПНОҮТЕ МЙ ЙРШМЕ ЕТВЕ ТИЙТРАК МЙ ТДІОІКНСІС мпесні. пса гар етфоуєїт оуй оумосте оунг йсфч. космеї ймо йпоугаї гй фопе йноубіх. ΜΝΤΗΝΤΡΑΚ ΝΤΟΥΤΑΠΡΟ. ΕΡΕ ΝΕΤΟΥΑΑΒ ΓΑΡ ΜΟΥΤΕ ΕΝΕΥΡΑΙ ΔΕ ΠΑΔΟΕΙС. ΜΠΡΜΕΡΕ ΚΟΟΜΕΙ ΜΜΟ Ϣ ΤΕCZIME ΑλλΑ ΑΡΙΠΜΕΕΎΕ ΝΝΕΙCAEIE ΤΗΡΟΎ ΕΤΖΝ ΝΤΑΦΟC. ΕΤΙ ΓΑΡ ΕΥΖΙΧΗ ΠΕΘλΟΘ ΜΠΟΙΟΝΕ Фаре пса до йгнтоү. космеі йтоүүүхн гій темітмаімоүте йтеү йм оүгнт епфаде йпноүте йтесштй ероч. Йпршме йсаве набш йн оүсгие $^{26}$  йаөнт. тете йсесштй гар ан  $\bar{N}$ СА ПЕСЕІШТ $^{27}$  М $\bar{N}$  ПЕСРАІ ОУАӨНТ ТЕ. ПАШНРЕ САРШК ЄВОХ  $\bar{N}$ ОУСРІМЕ ММАІКОСМЕІ. ММАЄІМ ГАР  $\tilde{N}$  тийтноеік $^{28}$  еүгі йшкіх ийпгшхк. $^{29}$  кнасоүп оүсгіне есносте йпнове гі тийкаөарос йпес2 $\omega$ . тет+стни де енесвах естафе ое $\omega$  йтсийтатфау.  $^{30}$  2 $\omega$ в иім йпс $\omega$ на рхреіа  $\overline{M}$  МИОУ АН. ОҮМ $\overline{N}$ ТАТШАУ ТЕ ФОРЕІ  $\overline{M}$ МООУ.  $\overline{M}$ ОУПЕ ПРНУ  $\overline{M}$ ПЕСТНИ  $\overline{N}$ ВАЛ. ЕУСОШ ГАР  $\overline{N}$ ӨІКШИ еттаент гіти мкриес ййгнес. Пет космеі ймоч гй теккансіа пара течфүсіс ечсфф йпдниюүргос. гвс поүго гй текк<br/>лнсіа йн йгір $^{32}$ аүш йп<br/>рскандаліге йоүүүхн. оүй πετμοοώς  $2\bar{n}$  ουςχημά $^{33}$  ευδοού εμμές χε ουν πετεφώτ νέφη. Παι τε ντείμινε ουαθητ пє.

пршме етгишке йтечморт ечме йтмйтатсооүп ййкоүі. Neyo де йатсооүп йсео йатсооүп ймоч.  $^{34}$  маре текрбси ишпе nak прос техреіа йпсшма  $^{35}$  ауш йпркосмеі ймок

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  This sentence is slightly defective in T but can be supplemented from B. P has a different version of the same, prior to the warning regarding usury, еүмөүте гар етеккансы же пны йканове евох. On the order of material at this point in P, and the various omissions, see the translation and the notes ad loc.

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$  So TB. Cf. P: єтве паі ффе ерон ергнве єх $\bar{\rm n}$  неннове. Ауф  $\bar{\rm n}$ те поуапоуа ріме г $\bar{\rm n}$  теккансіа.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Om. P.

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>$  proyte men... enethood through om. P.

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  So BP. Т: пе песеют  $\bar{\text{м}}$ п несга.

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$  ecnards. So B; no verb is found here in T, but P here has ectift, which implies that a verb of this sort should be found here. Possibly the significance of the verb is that it is attached to what follows, namely that she goes to church solely to make a show, an understanding reflected in the translation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> сгис ТВ. P reads ромс. Presumably an error.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 27}$  P: тетен<br/>̄ссстй ан йса песраі.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Although there is some slight damage to T here, judging from B the text is substantially complete. P gives little guidance at this point.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> พีพี ทุของหี TB; P has no equivalent words.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> естафе осю йтсмйтатфаү Т. В is partly illegible here but supports Т. Р: естафо йтесмйтатфаү.

 $<sup>^{31}</sup>$  So T. В: оүмлтатфаү пе фореі миооү (sic.) Р: 2ФВ иім єтипсфиа єрхріа миоч ан оуатфау пе.

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  P adds  $\omega$  тесгімє here.

<sup>33</sup> оусхима РВ. Т: оунсхима.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> ймоч T, in the hand of a corrector. PB: ероч.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> йпсона ТР; йпексона В.

2й оүвш. Паі гар йтаүтаач йнегіоме.  $^{36}$  ефже кнармаікосмеі йөе йоүсгіме йаөнт екфове ерос 2й оү. Пгооүт гар ечкі еграі йтесгіме  $^{37}$  йөе йпгігенші йоүполіс. Оүршме ймаіфіре чиа†свш йнечфіре калшс. Течфеере де чнатсавос епномос. Фшпе йөе йоүгігенші йнекфіре аүш йнекжіфіпе ежфоу.

єрфан текфеєре єпінумеї єумітпарненос акіїпфа йоуною йхаріс $^{38}$  сенарпекмеєує гар єтвинт $\bar{c}^{39}$  дітії підовіс. Підовіс гар оуаав ауф ефме йнеуоуаав.

оупароенос йсаве пе еститойс $^{41}$  енаріа.  $^{42}$  нім петнаєффахе єпанаї йтнаау йпхоєіс йта пноуте мерітс єтве песевнує.  $^{43}$  етве паі ачбоїле єрос йпеффире ймеріт.  $^{44}$  еумоуте епеют йагенетос хе пеют йпе $\overline{xc}^{45}$  ауф йтоц пе ей оуне.  $^{46}$  еумоуте еффо енаріа хе тнаау йпхоєіс. Ауф ей оуне йтос тентасхпо йпентацплассе ймос. Оуте йпіфбах же асхпоц $^{47}$  йбі наріа  $^{48}$  ауф йтос еффо $^{49}$  йпстако йтеспароеніа. Єаснісе йпенсфтір. Алда йгоуо йтоц ацгарег єрос нац йое йоуаго ецтану.  $^{50}$  йпе наріа нау єпго йгооут енег йфино. Етве паі рф асфтортр йтерессфтій етвесні йгаврінл паггелос. Йтос де несоуфн ан гфс ессанф йоусфиа алда єфасоуф  $^{51}$  етве танагки йтесфусіс хе йнесмоу гари йпесоубеіф.  $^{52}$  нессооум гар пескфкагну ймін йнос. Оуте рф меска несбіх. Єр йпвол йнеспаллен енег.  $^{53}$  нім гар пентац мійфайнау єпго нтеірец х пепноуте енег.  $^{54}$  несанахфреі гар пе ей песні мааус єудіаконеі нас гітй наггелос $^{55}$  ауф теснаау ймін йнос. Єсфанноує де евок фарос йбі теснаау $^{56}$  немесбійбом єтаує ласу йфахе нас йса песгф йнате. Єволхе астеркос етітамас єлалу йгфв єпапеікоснос пе.  $^{57}$  несгноос де не йсоп нім єре песго бффт ейна йфа єволхе несфлил пе єхії фалі.  $^{58}$  нере нессніну де епеюумеі єфахе нійас ауф месаніхе єфахе нійнау.

 $<sup>^{36}</sup>$  паі гар йтаутаац йнегіоне Т. Р: паі йтаутаац єукосинсіс йнегіоне.

<sup>37</sup> егры йтесгіне В. Р. егры едй йтесгіне, Т егры.

 $<sup>^{38}</sup>$  νούνος νχαρίς Β. νούχαρις Τ. ακμάτε νούζμοτ εξραί έχως P. Possibly the underlying Greek is υπερήφανη χάρις.

<sup>39</sup> So B. T is defective here, with much scratched out. P: ауш сенарпекнесуе гатем пеудосе ствннтс.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 40}$  So TB. Cf. P: пхоюс гар ефме писуоуаав тнроу.

 $<sup>^{41}</sup>$  ест<br/> типс Т. ВР: марест<br/> йтипс.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 42}$  P adds тиллу мплосіс here.

 $<sup>^{43}</sup>$  P adds etnanogog.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> So TB. Cf. P: адхооү мпедхире адоуше иннтс. This is conceivably the correct reading.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> P adds іс пенхоєю.

 $<sup>^{46}</sup>$  гл оүне BP; от. Т.

 $<sup>^{47}</sup>$  асхлоч. асмаст $\bar{q}$  B. Since the words are so close in meaning, the variation is unexpected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> P adds тпароєнос.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> 2000c B; P, whilst structured differently, tends to support its inclusion.

 $<sup>^{50}</sup>$  So T, supported largely by B. Cf P: асмісе мпендовіс алла мточ 2м оүме. ачгарег єрас нач моє моуаго єчтове.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> B adds กิธา ผลคเล here.

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>$  мпе маріа... гаон мпесоуосію is utterly distinct in P which reads:  $\bar{N}$  тос де N несхітрофий тенгавріна паггелос. N несоуюм гар an гюс ессаноў ноусюма.

<sup>53</sup> ουτε... ννεσιαλλειν ενές B. T is difficult to read; P, whilst distinct, supports the sense.

 $<sup>^{54}</sup>$  нім гар пентац мії файнау єпго нтєїрец х пепноутє єпег Р. Сб. В: нім гар пентац аполаує єнау єпго йтеюєотокос маріа єнег. От. Т.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> наггелос Р. От. ТВ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> йбі теснаау Р. От. ТВ.

 $<sup>^{57}</sup>$  So P. Cf. TB: сволже астаркос стйтрестамос слаау йоуш спапсікосмос пе.

 $<sup>^{58}</sup>$  So T. B: несгноос де гі пеміт. ере песго міпесгнт баст міньада єводде несадні еді аді. P: песадні гар не аді аді йнау нін мпгооу мітеудн.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> So B. TP: ayw nemecanixe.

йсоп еүөефреі йпехарактнр йтеспоλітеїа еүрфинре ймоц.  $^{60}$  иесйкотк де он прос техрисіс йпріння ймате ауф 200 есф йтон йпессома ан. Оуте гар йпснау епкожарну йпессома енер.  $^{61}$  есфанноу гар ефореі йоуфтин несафстам йнесвах пе.  $^{62}$  етве пафаі гар йтесрагніа йпецфопе йрнтс йбі псфит йнеріоме.  $^{63}$  несо гар йатсооун ерар йров йте пвіос  $^{64}$  евохде несоуну евох йромехіа  $^{65}$  йнеріоме. Йта пдоеіс гар бофт евох рй пецсфит тирф йпф нау епетеїне йнос.  $^{66}$  етве паі ацсотис нац еумаау. Ефхе оун оуєі бе оуфф етреуноуте ерос де парфенос  $^{67}$  нарестйтоніс енаріа.  $^{68}$  таі де наме сенаноуте ерос де тсфие йталоїес.  $^{69}$ 

ογπαρθένος εμέςνης μπηνε ωαρούζε μα ωσομ μπος έρπαρθένος. Τπαρθένος  $^{70}$  γαρ εςωροπ κατά πέςραν. Νάνούς γαρ ντέχισι $^{71}$  έξους έρος ντέπορνευς. Ντέουωω αν έχισαι μπρτοίο νόεικ ουτέ είνης πηρτοίο νόεικ ουτέ είνης. Τέυωροπ γαρ ντόπους μπας έασχενα. Μέρε παρθένος κοchεί μπος. Ούτε ρω μεύεια πέυρο. Τέυωροπ γαρ ντόπους η πε τωούν έξτοους ντόξι μπαμώμε ντόμος, αυώ εωρυρθών νόμα να εχίν χπική το μα απήντε μποξοού έτπε. Τα τόντε γαρ νούνου νώμωρη εωρυρθών εύωρος αυώρι εωρυρθών αυώρι εωρυρθών αυώρι ευρυρθών αυώρι ευρυρθών αυώρι ευρυρθών ευ

 $<sup>^{60}</sup>$  So T, supported by P. Cf. B: нере йаггелос нну йгаг йсоп. еүөөфреі ймос еүрдэнре йпехарактнр йтесполе†а.

 $<sup>^{61}</sup>$  оүте гар йпснау епкфкагну йпессфна енег Т. От. Р, cf. supra. В: оүте гар йпснау епкфкагну енег.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> So B. T is damaged and partly illegible here though what may be read supports B. Om. P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> So B. T is corrupt to the point of illegibility. P supports the sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> So ТВ; Р тепеікосмос.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> So P. ТВ งิөомохога, surely in error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> So B. Т: ймаріа Т. Cf. however P, tending to support B: йпцеєнпет титюн єрас.

 $<sup>^{67}</sup>$  ерпаровнос В. However note P, supporting T: ефже оүн очен же есоуши емочте ерос же паровнос...

 $<sup>^{68}</sup>$  марестйтший емаріа TB: cf. P: марес гарег енегвнте тнроу йтмйтпароєнос.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> So P. T: тиааү йпхоюс, taking the subject to be Mary, B likewise, but also apparently preserving something of P's reading: тсшие йпхоюс аүш течнааү.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> So TP. В: тпароєніа.

 $<sup>^{71}</sup>$  So TB. P adds and significance. Possibly a scribal gloss by somebody who did not understand the subsequent reference to hornere.

 $<sup>^{72}</sup>$  мпегооу етпе supplied from B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> This sentence is absent from B. T is damaged here but the lacunae may readily be completed from P which is here very close to T.

 $<sup>^{74}</sup>$  єпоуша) ТВ; Р: єпкєоуша).

 $<sup>^{75}</sup>$  монахн ТВ; Р: пароєнос.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> ε̄ν ογωρογογ supplied from P. Om. TB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> кни йпара: so P. Revillout read T as кн йпара оубооупє, whereas Rossi suggested that the text should read кни пара. P would appear to support Rossi's suggestion.

 $<sup>^{78}</sup>$  исігране: so ТВ. Р: исілтент.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> The text here is given according to T. B and P employ different constructions, though the meaning is the same.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> This sentence is not found in P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> So TB. P has a further, illegible, word here. Lammeyer suggests лсаве.

 $<sup>^{82}</sup>$  P has หที followed by a further illegible word. Lammeyer suggests องรุง  $\tau$ .

 $<sup>^{83}</sup>$  мпеснау, so ТВ; Р: тнроу.

אפּ אפּדנסדה פּהאסץ פַּה פּץאו.  $^{84}$  דאו דפּפּ הֿהפּדנסדה פּדאה פֿאַראר. דהאףפּנאוג де йпсшна емйтас йнау йтепістниеї йте $\gamma$ хн оүмйтсоб те.  $^{85}$  оу пе п $^{2}$ ну йоупароєнос ECCEY? NOYB EZOYN. H AQ) HE HZHY NTETMOYZ NZENTAIBE NZOITE ECQJOYQJOY MMOC $^{86}$  LE ANT ΟΥΠΑΡΘΈΝΟς. ΠΜΑ ΝΌΟΟΥ? ΝΟΥΠΑΡΘΈΝΟς ΠΕ ΠΑΡΟ ΝΤΕΟΎΥΧΗ. ΝΕΤΚΗΚΑΡΗΥ ΕСΩΙΑΝΉ ΡΙΜΟΥ ассшоуг нас егоүн йоүаго.  $^{87}$  тийтшау тнрс йтпарөеніа те тгупотагн ауш тапотагн нй тете йпестсюс йоеік.  $^{88}$  оусгіне єсщіпе йса оушн нй пе  $\overline{\text{xc}}$  йщще ан ерос. енег гнтс новік єптн $\overline{p}$ ч.  $^{89}$  тесфрагіс йпт $\overline{b}$ во те нистіа. Петтафо де йнечтрофи натафе νείωνε.  $^{90}$  carwk εβολ νουπάρθενος ντε πλοείς αυω μπρόφωντ ντα τετεούντζεαι χε νίνεκρινε μμοκ 2000 φαλρπε. $^{91}$  ουμονάχη εςμοσώς μμοέρς νουμονάχη αν τε. αυφ ТЕТМООЩЕ МАУААС ЕСРНИ ЕРОУН ЕУПОРИІА.

оүршне ечпориече сочитч сгіме йнач очатфау ачт очгатвтірне пе. ий очй очосіє Naanexe exe neqopoo ex $\bar{\rm n}$  oynetpa.  $^{92}$  qcooyn pap xe  $\bar{\rm n}$ q nap $\bar{\rm m}$ t an naq. oyte on  $^{93}$   $\bar{\rm m}$ n cabe на фпечсперма йгенпорин. Де йчнафшпе гар нач ан кан ечфанфшпе он ечнафшпе епсароу.  $^{94}$  проме йаөнт  $^{95}$  †со е† йтецотни йренпорин фац† иаү $^{96}$  йпецсперма еттаенү. Оу петтаенү $^{97}$  гй пеброфо тнроу. пеброб йпроме пе.  $^{98}$  паөнт де йтоц снф  $\bar{\text{N}}$ аграч. Ффе есаанф некфнре калшс аүш ффе е $^{+}$ со епексперма.  $^{99}$   $^{+}$ со ерок мауаак ф πρώμε αυώ μ $^{100}$  ω πρώμε caρώωκ γαβάλ μουζείμε μπαρθένος αυώ йпрофт иса те теуен тсгаі инау. Ден неутгап ерок какфс.  $^{101}$  петгиоос ий сгіме агроч чофт йса кеоуеі.  $^{102}$  ерфан оуа гар бффт емйтчсгіме инау печнове кнв наліста петчгиоос ий оусгіме.  $^{103}$  ечтано йоуон иім ги птречоффт де оупорнос пе.  $^{104}$  чснг гар

 $<sup>^{84}</sup>$  อุทิ อุงโห is partially restored from B where T cannot be read. P supports the reconstruction.

<sup>85</sup> Read from B, with support from P. T has been defaced with illegible correction. For хтепістние P reads мтєпістна). This may represent ἐπίστασις, though Lammeyer suggests that ἐπιστήμη lies behind this as well. 86 P adds егрые хфоу here and continues the phrase: ауф есхфимос же анок ... The variation in the latter part of the sentence may simply amount to a smoother Coptic rendering of the Greek, but the former variation indicates a distinct Greek text.

 $<sup>^{87}</sup>$  So TB. Cf. P: пма йсфоүр гар йтпароема пе птанро нтеүүхн етепаі пе хесрфвё инеткнкарну. See the note to the translation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> So T. B and P are each corrupt.

<sup>89</sup> Sentence complete in P only. T has a lacuna where name is found, and does not include spoc. B has only a few letters of econine rica ούωμ and reads rilay rather than enthry, presumably a secondary revision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> So B. For натаде T has наде, which Revillout emends to таде P has some differences (e.g. гар for де) but conforms in meaning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Sentence illegible in P.

 $<sup>^{92}</sup>$  So T, though a half line has been effaced. Cf. B: м $\bar{\rm N}$  оүосьс наапіхе єхє печсоуо єх $\bar{\rm N}$  оупетра, P мн оун оуа (possibly a scribal error for мӣ оүоею) наапіхе ехом пефброб ехӣ оүпетра. Whereas the meaning is clear, the precise text is not ascertainable, though only the defacement to T would lead one to doubt the text. <sup>93</sup> он supplied from B, P. Om. T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> So principally following P supported by B. T is slightly distinct though there is no difference in meaning.

<sup>95</sup> So BP. T: Nabe, though in the hand of a corrector. Revillout makes the correction in his edition, with reference to B.

<sup>96</sup> йгенпорин фач+ нау (so plural) Т. Сб. ВР: йоунпорин фач+ нас (sing.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> Supplied from BP. Om. T. Again Revillout alters the text of T.

<sup>98</sup> กะอาออ ที่การบพย กะ BP, om. T (with Revillout making the alteration). See the note to the translation for this entire passage, which reads in T: проме йсаве †со е† йтечатни йгенпорин фач† нау йпечсперма еттаенну  $2^{\bar{N}}$  пебришь тнроү.  $^{99}$  So TB. P, whilst almost identical in meaning, differs slightly in reading 1990 брок беллий некизнре калис

аүш е†со епексп(е)рма.  $^{100}$  So T. Om. P. B: †со ерок мауаак  $\omega$  пр $\omega$ ме ау $\omega$  м $\bar{\tau}$ †со ет $\bar{\nu}$ хагмек.

<sup>102</sup> So T, and B (though reading кесгие instead of кесуеі.) Cf. Р: петриоос ий течелие йахое ам ероч егноос мй кеоүсі. ТВ seems to make the better sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> So TBP with minor variations.

 $\chi$ е мй порнос накхнрономеі нтийтрро йпноүте.  $^{105}$  ффе ерок емере тексгіме ауф он гферок ерок.  $^{106}$  есеіне гар $^{107}$  ннегіаме тнроү. Петбеєт йн тецсгіме  $^{108}$  мауаас циаємтон йгнт ехфс.  $^{109}$  ауф йнпетбфт йсфс гффс.  $^{110}$  гй пфі еткнафі йноц еүнафі йноц нак.  $^{111}$  петбеєт мй тецсгіме ката тийтфау йпгамос цианау егенфіре йсаве. Ауф петнатіво гй пегооу йсунаге нецфіре нафйфе нац.  $^{112}$  пет фйфе де йпхоєїс гй оуме нецфіре нафйфе нац гитори йгнт фооп пфій йпрфие йфе йпетбоунт ген фіре йсаве егоуох еффие егонхффре.  $^{114}$  петнаргигах де йпхоєїс гй оуме сенатаху нац. Теп некфіре етеккансіа ауф егі майсф ан.  $^{115}$  тсавооу де етбінсфтй гй оукарфц. Ауф гі пеоуоєї йтекміт гало сенагах сенагах нац. Теп некфіре етеккансіа ауф егі майсф ан.  $^{115}$  тсавооу де етбінсфтй гі оукарфц. Ауф гі пеоуоєї йтекміт гало сенагах сфіх гі йфахе йпноуте. Ере  $^{116}$  йрфме ргало етве тме. Тийтфараге гар $^{117}$  есфооп ййрецхібох.  $^{118}$  оуфпінре пе пвіос йнате ісйфе йронпе же йпоубі нове йсфоу.  $^{119}$  таї те бе йпрфме етнаратнове ечнаро оуноб йаре.  $^{120}$  йпоуратсооун йпноуте йбі нетне йтме. Тийтрецхібох сооун ан йнос науаас.  $^{121}$  нійрфме йхрістіанос нахібох. Тийтатсооун гар оурецхібох те.  $^{122}$  оуффме гар ецхі євох пофія пофіа ан ероц ехібох.  $^{123}$  пноуте гар оуме пе. Ауф нетне йпноуте меухібох.  $^{124}$ 

оуфпнре те ттехмиріа йнетвик егоүн єхм псфиа мпе $\overline{xc}^{125}$  еумег йкфг гімосте. Пноуте оумаірфие пе ауф петмосте ййрфие йсефіпе ан гиту мпноуте оуде йсерготе ан йгиту.  $^{126}$ . ере петмосте йнеуерну мосте мпноуте ауф еуапотассе нач же мпрмерітй. оуоєї  $^{127}$  йпетмосте йнікфи мпноуте. Петр пефооу йоурфие ечеїре нач оуаач. Мй гудони

<sup>104</sup> So TB; om. P.

 $<sup>^{105}</sup>$  So P, cf. TB: міл порнос бе пехач наканрономеї іттийтеро нійпнує.

 $<sup>^{106}</sup>$  So P, Cf. TB: 200 epok etekczime.

<sup>107</sup> ΓΑΡ BP: om. T.

 $<sup>^{108}</sup>$  течегіме BP; Т: оүсгіме.

 $<sup>^{109}</sup>$  exωc T. B: εχραι εχωc. P: εχ $\bar{n}$  πεγωριρε καλωc. Possibly the reading of P should be preferred, and that of T explained as the omission of the letters between the initial ex, and the final ωc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> So T (and B, except that B reads ймпетилоффт). Cf. P: дүф ймпетилоффт йсл течерие рофф.

 $<sup>^{111}</sup>$  So T. B: 2й пол еткнаслі ймос еүнаслі ймон нак 2ссок. P: пол гар етере проме наслі ймоч еүнаслі ймоч нач 2ссок.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> So TB. P has minor differences which do not impinge upon meaning.

<sup>113</sup> So TB. P, however, adds гй оүмс to the preceding нафифс нач and goes on: нөс йтач фифс йпхосис гй оүмс. As suggested in the note to the translation, the reading of P can be explained as a misreading of a text like that of TB.

 $<sup>^{114}</sup>$  еүоүох ефине еүонхфире Т. Р: йхфре еүоүох ефине. Сf. В: еүеоүох ефине еүоүох еүо йхффре.  $^{115}$  ... $_{2}$ й оүне сенатааү нач. теп некфнре етеккансіа аүф е $_{2}$ й найсф ан. So TB. Cf. Р: ... $_{2}$ й оүне сенатааү нас. таап некфнре етеккансіа аүф йнайсе н $_{2}$ п ан.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> ерө Т. В: ер . Р: енфаре.

 $<sup>^{117}</sup>$  rap TP. B: Le.

 $<sup>^{118}</sup>$   $\bar{\text{н}}$   $\bar{\text{h}}$   $\bar{\text{$ 

So B. T is slightly defective, but what is readable supports B. Cf P: оүфпнре гар пе пвюс нен таүрфонтейфе нронпе же нпочен нове ечхі егоүн ерооү йтаү. Beyond the differences of expression, the distinct number of years is notable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> So TB. Cf. P: тчонтебе мпршме етнарнове гм нею чоско де гошч он чнацип енцараде. See the comment on the translation.

 $<sup>^{121}</sup>$  So B. Cf. Т: йпоүратсооүн йпноүтс йон петсооүн ймос (which latter Revillout corrects to ймоч.) тийтречдібох гар со йатсооүн ймос.

 $<sup>^{122}</sup>$  йпоуратсооүн ... оүрсцхюох те от. Р.

<sup>123</sup> So TP. Cf. B: оүршне йрецхібох йцйпцух хи йпсшнх йпехс. хүш йцуце хи ероц ехібох. The agreement of P and T means that B must result from revision.

 $<sup>^{124}</sup>$  пноутє гар ... меухібох ТВ. От. Р.

 $<sup>^{125}</sup>$  йпехс ТР. йпхоек В.

 $<sup>^{126}</sup>$  гнтч йпноүте оуде йсерготе ан йгнтч. So P. Om. TB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> P adds гар here.

2Й ППАӨОС ЙПМОСТЕ АУШ ОУШПНРЕ ПЕ ДЕ ЕЧ БЙБОМ ЕРОМ ТНРЙ.  $^{128}$  ПЕТМЕ ЙПЕТ?ІТОУШЧ ечне мпноүте. Петне де мпноүте пноүте намерітч. Петере пноүте де не мноч оущире πε πτε πνούτε. Ούβοτε  $^{129}$  ναρμά πνούτε πε ούρωμε ευκτό μπου εβολ μποώμα μπέχ $\overline{c}^{130}$ εγσεπλοισε χε  $\bar{n}$ † $\bar{n}$ πωλ αν. πε τε νητέρε μμος αν νήπωλ μπηλότηριον  $^{131}$  γναχι νέξεν нобиснов.  $^{132}$  оу пе п<br/>ену йпетсунаге йп $\bar{\mathbf{q}}$ с<br/>оти епосо йнеграфи. пете йп $\bar{\mathbf{q}}$ с<br/>оти гар  $^{133}$ епоф ййанагиосіс напостолос міпеуаггєлюн $^{134}$  еусове йса пмустнрюн міпе $\overline{\text{xc}}$ .  $^{135}$  пете й<br/>qысөане де ан етийта үөентнс $^{136}$  йпс<br/>юна ий песноц йпехс $^{137}$  йпртрецхі евох  $\bar{\text{N}}$ 2HT $\bar{\text{q}}$ .  $\bar{\text{1}}$ 38 петпістеує дє єроч чнарфор $\bar{\text{n}}$  єтеккансіа.  $\bar{\text{1}}$ 39 140 пршне гар  $\bar{\text{N}}$ реч $\bar{\text{p}}$ 2OTє налупеї εγωλη εληλ νές ταλλει εμπατήβωκ ετέκκλης  $^{141}$  παμέλης δε ντος φας δίας αλω μες χι CMOY. ΠΕΤΡΟΟΡΠ ΕΤΕΚΚΆΗСΙΑ ΥΝΑΣΙ ΠΟΥCMOY ΕΥΚΗΒ. ΠΕΤΝΑΡΊΘΑΕ  $\pm \epsilon^{142}$  Χωρίς αναγκή αυργάε епесмоу. ФФЕ ЕДІ ЄВОЛРЙ ПСФИЛ МЙ ПЕСМОЧ ЙПЕХС ЙПЕСМОТ ЙОУКОУІ ЕЧОВЕ ЙТЕРФТЕ הדפעשבאץ.  $^{143}$  חפּדפ העבו רבף בא פּפסל הצאדק אהדק שחק האבץ. הפדבו בפּ האסט פּטפָה סץבשפָה  $\bar{N}$  имосте  $\bar{N}$  го отакаварсіа йпоривіа чеооу впетипечхіт $\bar{V}$  ий нове гооу нагри пноуте ΝΘΕ ΜΠΜΟΣΤΕ. ΕΒΟλ ΓΑΡ 2Μ ΠΑΙ ΕΦΑΡΕ2ΦΤΒ ΦΦΠΕ. ΠΕΤΜΟΟΦΕ ΑΕ 2Ν ΟΥΝΟΒΕ ΜΠΑΡΑ ΦΥCIN псон де пе  $\bar{\text{м}}$ петмосте.  $^{145}$  фаре тагапн еіш євох  $\bar{\text{n}}$ нове нім. пмосте де гошч фацхоор ebol ünapete.  $^{146}$  epe tarahh ppehei ünexpeictianoc. Hetzi rap ühe $\overline{\text{N}}$ c que epoq ezi йпечкеоуфф.

ийте тагапн ийтатсооүн маау. Тагапн гар сооүн йршме нім. Пхшк йтагапн пе рпетнаноүц йршме нім. Петрпетнаноүц де йнеумосте ймоц цнатйтший єпноуте. мйршме ємйагапн йгнтій нахівеке.  $^{147}$  петрпетнаноүц де  $^{148}$  йнецхахе цнахі йоуклом йатгшбів. Йашйге йцнарпетнаноүц ан пршме нім йоі петеіре йнецхахе. Єре ппетнаноүц де фооп ймате ан євол гії гаг йхрнма. Прецрпетнаноүц гар фацхшк євол гії оуапот ймооу ймоуйшрій мйоуоєік йоушт.  $^{149}$  оуфіпе пе йоухреістіанос єунтій фтни сйте  $^{150}$  єцшвій ймоц єпете мйтац. Єфхе гій пший тій коіншні мйненерну посш маллон

 $^{128}$  пет $\bar{p}$  пеөооү... тнр $\bar{n}$  ТВ. От. Р.

 $<sup>^{129}</sup>$  onbote TB. oncite P.

 $<sup>^{130}</sup>$  еукто ймоу евох йпсшма йпехс ТВ. Р: еупнт гавах йпсшма ймпесмоу йпехс.

 $<sup>^{131}</sup>$  ийпара йпичстири ТВ. Р: наслос енемустири.

 $<sup>^{132}</sup>$  чиахі йген ноб йснфе Т. В: чиахі йгенйснфе. Р: чиахі нгисаф.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> γαρ ΤΒ. Om. P.

 $<sup>^{134}</sup>$  анагифсіс напостолос ийпеуаггеліон Р. анагифсіс йпеуаггеліон ТВ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> ผิทธ<del>xc</del> P, om. TB. P moreover employs a different construction, though having the same meaning.

<sup>136</sup> пете йчаксоане де ан етийта убентис ТВ. Р: петенчної нитинт дофре.

 $<sup>^{137}</sup>$  йпехс  $^{137}$  и  $^{137}$  и  $^{137}$ 

 $<sup>^{138}</sup>$  йпртрецці євох й<br/>ент<br/>  $^{138}$  ТР. В: йпртрецціт<br/>  $^{138}$ 

 $<sup>^{139}</sup>$  пет пістеує де єроч чиарфорії єтєккансіа ТВ. Р: пет пістеує де гоф єроч гії пгнт тирії чиарфорії ифарпу єтєккансіа.

P concludes here.

 $<sup>^{141}</sup>$  So T. Cf. В: проме гар йречруюте налупе еуфануалле йпатечвок.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> ле В. от. Т

 $<sup>\</sup>bar{N}$  אדנים אדנים אדנים are in a secondary hand in T, which has been corrected with the erasure of two words. The inclusion of these words is, however, supported by B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> So B. T is damaged here. спете мӣтӌ҃ спетем may be read, followed after a gap by ҳı. This led Revillout to translate "mieux vaudrait pour lui n'avoir pas la vie…" A line has been erased and illegible corrections made. <sup>145</sup> ӣпетмосте conj. Т: петмосте, В: ӣпмосте.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> αμάρε ... νίναρετε. So TB. T is corrected through erasure, and νίναρετε is found in a secondary hand in the middle of an erased line, but the line nonetheless makes sense and is supported by B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> йрнтф нахівеке supplied from B. T is lacunose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> де В, от. Т.

 $<sup>^{149}</sup>$  ñoywt T, om. B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> B concludes here.

иєтнатако. арінаіршне єнгіпшйно гар тнрй. Йн хаау нане  $^{151}$  гй пршне євох гй ткохасіс йөє йтагапн. арінаіршне гєшс єкщооп. Йгишск ан. Оунр пє пекаге гідй пкаг. Некдо йноч євох гй оупетщоуєїт. Оуйоугооу сотії нагрй псофос ауш фачраще єдй пгну йоугооу йоушт. Павнт де йточ фачде печаге євох гй оугооу ийпсшс фачшлій нечоєн хаау гй печоїх. Оуй оуршне йсаве на  $^{152}$  нін. Сенакріне йпршне га йфаде йршч. Йсе на  $^{152}$  нін. Сенакріне йпршне га йфаде йршч. Йсе на  $^{152}$  нін. Сенакріне йпршне га йфаде йршч. Йсе на  $^{152}$  нін. Сенакріне йпршне га йфаде  $^{152}$  нін. Сенакріне  $^{152}$  нін.

оүобі йпршме етшвій ймоч єроч оудач. Дутадс [ ] нак гітй некпразіс єтрекноуте. Текамеліа маудас сенатйтшні єпідаімшн. Каі гар йтауртвіге єтве теуамеліа. Оудмелнс йпршме чге єгоун єптако. Пете йччірооуці гар ан га печоухаї маудач $^{153}$  нім петнатангоуті. Петчірооуці га печоухаї роуцін йробіс гірй йро йпні йпноуте душ йнечратсооун єнетоунаоцоу. Петойатсооун єпенагишсіс оутвин пе. Пет $^{\dagger}$  йгтну єпіцій йчнарнове ди. Петме йпіцахе йпноуте єчме йпноуте. Петеїре де ймоч єчо йцвнр єпіноуте.

петвик егоүн ехй псшна йпепхоеіс гй оү†ге ечошйт йпноүте.  $^{154}$  петнахі де евохйгитү ечтаге ечтако йпечший мауаач. Оуоуоеіш петшооп йоуши гі сш ката пші йме. пеоуоеіш де йпмустиріон. Шше еваач гй оуною йгарег. Петхі йпсшна мй песноч йпехс гй оутвво ачхі йоуною йтоусіа  $^{155}$  ауш оуйбом ймоч етоунес речмооут. Смотй де  $^{156}$  етоунес речмооут егоуо епеное йоугаретікос. Йгаретікос гар йсепістеуе ан епноуте оуте нечпетоуаав ахха епеуоушці ймім ймоу. Оушці де нім йген евох ан не гіпа етоуаав еухі епеснт еамйте.

оуфпнре пе прн 2й петхосе. Оудаху пе нагрй пеооу йпноуте. [ ]  $^{157}$  таі те  $^{157}$  те те  $^{157}$  те те  $^{157}$  таі те  $^{157}$  те те

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> พลทธ conj. Rossi. T is damaged.

 $<sup>^{152}</sup>$  T is unclear. Rossi reads coop, indicating the  $\omega$  as conjectural; cf. Revillout 200B.

<sup>153</sup> Only and can be read in T. The word is completed following Rossi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> See on this the comment on the translation.

<sup>155</sup> йтоусы. Cf Revillout's reading: йоуюм. Rossi notes Revillout's reading in a footnote.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Revillout supplies те here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> An entire line and a half line following have been erased and rewritten here in an illegible hand. See the translation for a possible reconstruction.

<sup>158</sup> ετριφωμ: so Rossi, indicating the second φ as unclear. Cf. Revillout who reads ετρα μμομ.

<sup>159</sup> Such is Rossi's reading. Cf. that of Revillout: ογ†εκτιcιc

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> Four lines follow in which individual letters only are legible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> итютс is written in a secondary hand. These lines are much erased and corrected.

 $\chi$ е пмустнріон ечоулав.  $^{162}$  ерфаноул  $\chi$ е толма ехі євол 2й плі йчоулав ан чилфшпе йенохос йпсшма мії песноч йпхоєю. Плі  $\chi$ е прагілсмос етре пршме  $\chi$ і євол йрнт  $\chi$ 0 ауш етречмере ймоч.

Φορπ  $\text{Me}[\ ]$  Ντη ογαφί αν ετρεφωω[πe] νηνον ετντρέναλα 2ως νίκεογα. αγώ πετνοκ[\ ] йтйλλη йпетгітоγω[n]. пλι пе пномос λγω пепрофнтнс. ογρωμε εηχι йпетгітоγωη йбонс мйтч коіншні йнау мй псштнр. Оуа ечшва ймоч епетрітоушч ечкнкарну сеньоворог ероч гооч ечкика на твина йпехс. петвик егоги едй перусіастиріон ечей оухшей ймитноськ сенатаач стоотч йтсате йатхена. Оуршие гар йпрор[нос]. [ ] $^{163}$  [2apez] netribal etgingwat etwoyeit. 2apez enetrilac etkatalalia. 2apez ενετήμαλ σε ενισινάστη ετωργείτ. γαρές ετένταπρο ενισινώρκ έτμες ήθλος ζί ζότε. маре поуа поуа ймштй капна гй ечгнт егоун епетгітоушч. маре поуа поуа споудаде егарег ероч еніентолн. таречойоє йвик егоүн епні йноүте ефлил. енфанвшк гар егоүн епні йпноуте єноті гй пауан йпдіаволос єннафоноом йаф йге йфф ерон ййентолн ЙПΝΟΥΤЄ. ЙАФ) ЙЗЄ ΚΝΑΦ) ΧΗλ 2Й ПНІ ЙПΝΟΥΤЄ. Η ЙГИНСТЕУЕ ЙПЕКЗНТ СОУТФИ АН ОУТЕ ΝΕΚΘΊΧ ΟΥΆΔΒ ΑΝ. ΠΑΥΆΝ ΓΑΡ ΟΤΝ ΝΓΝΑΦΙΘΙΘΌΜΟ ΑΝ ΕΤΑλΕ ΝΕΝΤΌλΗ ΕΤΕ ΝΑΙ ΠΕ ΝΑΗΜΟΟΙΟΝ **ЙТЕККАНСІА. КДО ЙМОС ДЕ ТРИНСТЕІА. ЕКРРОВ ЕПДІМДИ. ПСОМА МЕР ЙПОРИІА. ПРНТ МЕР**  $\bar{N}$ ССССЧ, ТЕ  $\Upsilon$ ҮХН  $\left[ \right]^{164}$  ПЛАС МЕР  $\bar{N}$ КАТАЛАЛА.  $\bar{N}$ СТХ МЕР  $\bar{N}$ ССЧ.  $\bar{N}$ ССРНТЕ ПОТ ЕТКАКІА. ТТАПРО ДІЧООТ РЙ ПДІНООНТ. ЙНАДЕ СШТЙ ЕНЕЗВНУЕ ЕТО ЙОДЛОЧ. КИЕ ЙЙРЕЧДИР. КПИТ ератоу ппречкаоуноу. квик ща пречмоуте. ксаанц пнефармакос. ко пцвир  $\epsilon$ ÑPEQXIOYA.  $^{165}$  KTH2 MÑ ÑPEQ $\dagger$ 2 $\epsilon$ . TOOTK CMONT MÑ ÑPEQTŒPÑ. NXOI THPŨ OTÑ 2A NAYAN  $\bar{N}$ ТКАКІА. АУШ ПЕХАК ХЕ  $\dagger \bar{P}$ ИНСТЕІА.  $\dagger \bar{U}$ ДНА. МН ЄТВЕ ИІПЕӨООЎ ТНРОЎ  $\bar{N}$ ТА ПЕПРОФНТНЕ  $\bar{U}$ **ΘΒΟλ ΧΕ ΠΗΙ ΜΠΕ ΦΆΗΑ ΜΤΟΣΤΑ ΑΤΕΤΊΔΑΥ ΝΟΠΗΛΑΙΟΝ ΝΟΟΟΠΕ. ΠΕΧΑΥ ΟΝ ΧΕ ΕΤΕΤΝΌΔΝΠΡΟ** νετήσιχ εγραι έροι †νακτε ναβάλ έβολ μμωτή. Νετήσιχ γαρ μες ςνού. Υςής ον χε истіпнствіа мії истіпоушсу тауухи мосте імооу. Педач он ібі івриміас пепрофитис де мн оүвнв  $\bar{n}$ гоіт $\bar{e}$  те так $\lambda$ нрономіа паі.  $[\quad]^{166}$  гар нан гіт $\bar{n}$  пепрофитис д $\bar{e}$  аідооү  $\bar{n}$ оү ΤΗΥΤΝ ΕΡΟΙ ΠΕΧΕ ΠΧΟΕΙС. ΔΙΡΟΣΤ ΝΝΕΤΝΟ)ΗΡΕΚΟΥΙ ΜΝ ΝΕΤΝΣΡΟΙΡΕ ΣΝ ΟΥΜΟΥ ΕΥΟΟ). ΔΥΟ ΜΠΕΤΙΚΤΕ ΤΗΥΤΙ ΕΡΟΙ ΠΕΧΕ ΠΗΧΟΙС. ΔΙΧΟΟΥ ΝΟΥΦΙΦΕ ΕΧΗ ΠΚΑΡΠΟΟ ΤΗΡΟ ΜΠΕΤΙΚΑ ΚΑΣ. ΑΥΦ σομορρα. αυω είναι ον μπετνκτέ τηυτν έροι πέχε πηχοείς. Ναι σε τηρού μη νές είχων αν ΦΑ ΠΟΟΥ  $\bar{N}$ 200Υ  $\bar{C}$ 80Α  $\bar{M}$ ΜΑΤΕ  $\bar{X}$ Ε  $\bar{N}$ Τ $\bar{N}$ ΑΙCΘΑΝΕ ΑΝ  $\bar{N}$ ΘΕ  $\bar{M}$ ΠΑΘΗΤ  $\bar{C}$ ΤΟΥ  $[\quad ]^{168}$  ΦΑΥΧΟΟΣ 21Τ $\bar{N}$  ΝΕ NAPXAIOIC СТВЕ ООІТЕ ЖЕ ОҮӨНРІОН ПЕ СЧСООЧ СОЗАЧПОЮНЕ ППЕЧФҮСІКОН СФҮСІС СНТЕ. ОЗАЧР ογκλιρος εσο πζοογτ πηρ ογκλιρος εσο πςείμε. απον εφών ω νέςνης ενώανπωωνε ΝΤΕΝΦΥCIC ΠΘΕ ΠΘΟΙΤΕ. 2ΕΝCΟΠ ΜΕΝ ΕΝΠΩΣΤ ΕΡΟΥΝ ΕΤΕΚΚΑΗCIA ΕΝΠΩΡΟ ΠΝΕΝΘΙΧ ΕΒΟΑ енајана н енинстеуе. Ренсоп де он еназноу ппречкаоуноу ип пфармакос ип пречпарре де фоле нан пнафте. наф де пре со намерате мпноуте набофт ан ерон нүй оргн нім ерраі єдши. Наш йре чнабшшт єдй ненинстеїа йн неншана. Нн єтве  $\dagger$ е  $\begin{bmatrix} 1^{169}$  иррш. Ан εθοού πτα πνούτε ως) εβολ έρον γιτή ιερέημαις πεπροφητής щε μη έγραι έχη ναι цΝΑσЙΠΩΙΝΕ ΑΝ ΠΕΧΕ ΠΧΟΕΙС. Η ΕΧЙ ΟΥΖΕΘΝΟС ЙΤΕΙΜΙΝΕ ΤΑΥΥΧΗ ΝΑΕΙΡΕ ΑΝ ЙΟΥΚΒΑ. AQ) GE

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> There is significant corruption in these lines; the reconstruction of Rossi is followed here.

Three and a half lines follow which have been largely erased and are illegible. A few words may be made out, (NHCTGIA, and in the following line KAKE) but nothing from which coherent sense may emerge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> Five lines following are largely erased and illegible.

 $<sup>^{165}</sup>$  ейрецхюуа. Т: ейрецхюуе.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> The next three lines are largely effaced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> Here is an erasure, apparently of a single word.

One line is erased, and the following two are legible only in part.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> A few letters are illegible here.

†свш йпекфире же йнечрфвир сүршме йречриове аүш чиапрокопте ката пекоүшф. маречфие йса тесвш йтоотоү ййсаг йтеккансіа. маречиау епеусвооуе йгитф. теп тек тапро епфаже йпноуте аүш йгмоофе мй генсаве. йпртеп рок ешрк аүш йпрсагоү йпканкоши йпноуте. Фіпе йса песмоу ауш маре песмоу фшпе гй ршк. йпрсеф аау иршме ептирф ауш ефшпе коушф аи етре ршме софк йток гшшк йпрсшф.

 $\pm 6000$   $\pm 000$  kama nay etregehooc.

ΦΙΠΕ 2ΗΤΕ ΠΟΥΟΝ ΝΙΜ ΑΥΦ ΜΠΡΦΜΕ ΝΑ ΝΟΥΘΕ ΝΑΚ.

ΜΠΡΟΥΕΖ 21CE ΕλΑΑΥ ΝΡΟΜΕ. ΑΥΟ ΜΠΡΑΙΤΕΙ ΝΟΥΡΜΜΑΟ ΠΟΟΝ CNAY.

ογήτακ οεικ ποως έχωκ μπ πετειτογωκ.

быпшие инстатие. Аха игвак игбыпшие инстоти егоүн, кан итк оүрынао дек текагіаконіа евох инскоуєрнте.

ТЙТШИГ ЄАВРАЗАН ПАІ ЄТЄ НАЩЕ ЙЕЧЗҮПАРХОНТА. АУШ ЄПНА ЙТЕЧНЙТНАІШЙНО АЧЙПША ЙОУШН НЙ ПНОУТЕ. ЗАӨН НЕН ЙЗШВ НІН ЖПЕ ОУНЙТШАНЗТНЧ НАК ТАРОУШЙЗТНУ ЗАРОК.

пархон йтполіс ноуч не йка нім єтей тполіс. єтвє паі йообе ан єроч єфоне йлаау. єфопе де оурймаю пе оуа ообе єроч єрпістос ауф йчсрче єнеккльсіа. Пноуте ме йнетна ййгнке єукнкагну йоє йпетнакот йоутопос йпечран. Оурймаю єчна ййгнке паі оурймаю пе євол гітй пноуте. Оаре прике рафе єуфанфале ймнач гітй примаю. єчрелпіле ємтон євол ймоч. Офе йгоую єпримаю єтречрафе ги птречтомит єпгнке. ауф нфоуноч де ачровнр єуроме йте пноуте. Пархон йсаве точ те тийтримаю йпкосмос. Прике гоф йсаве точ те тийтримаю йпкелюн єте тийтеро ийпнує те.

оүготе пе пиоүте г $\bar{n}$  печеооү. Пеооү де  $\bar{n}$ пиоүте моүг мптнр $\bar{q}$ . NIM петиафг $\bar{m}$ печеооү. Пеооү де  $\bar{n}$ пиоүте моүг мптнр $\bar{q}$ . NIM петиафг $\bar{m}$ печеооү. Пеооү де  $\bar{n}$ пиоүте моүг мптнр $\bar{q}$ . NIM петиафг $\bar{n}$ не  $\bar{n}$ оүтрапетис.

аріготє гит $\bar{q}$  йпиоүтє  $\bar{\omega}$  пр $\bar{\omega}$ ме иг $\bar{\omega}$ ме иач гй текпрогаїрєсіс. Оу $\bar{\omega}$ ме євох йпекноус.  $\bar{\omega}$ 

† йпековік йнетракавіт. Ауш нёффрй втеккансіа. Ауш сепс тоіх йприке ймипе. Ауш †нац ката текоом. Оупросфора маресфшпе нак рй пні йпноуте. Ауш тапархн ййгеннма аріфорії врооу нё таау йпоуннв. † ра текфухи йросон конб. вршан ршме гар моу мере пецфахе намоун євоа.

иете ийтац хааү йса пршв йиецеіх оүнбөн йноц е†ийтна  $[\ ]^{171}$  аріке ероц  $[\ ]^{172}$  ефхе оүна аріке еприке хе йпіцшпе йнант. Єїє оү петнацшпе йпрйнао ецка ноүв ехі ноүв фантоурфиве ауш иў моур йгентинве йгоіте шантоургооде. Єтве паі ш намерате ршс

 $<sup>^{170}</sup>$  This is the end of a page and the beginning of the following. The extent of the lacuna is uncertain, though it may be only a single line, including the first two letters of METANOIA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Approximately half a line is illegible, a few letters only being discernible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> eqญฉพ may be read, followed by approximately one third of an illegible line.

еамеіме же ере поуфф йпноүте 2й пна маримере пна. 173 пна пехац фацфоуфоу ймоц ехй текрісіс. Набіатоу гар пехац ййнант же йтооу нетоунана нау. Пхобіс 10 жф ймос же ффпе йфангтну же оуфангтну пе петйсіфт. Йпркріне же йнеукріне ймфтй. Йпртбаєю же йнеутбаєїє тнутй. Кф євох тароукф ннтй євох. 1 тароу1 ннтй. Оуфі єнаноуц ецтебтфб ецнегноуг ецпене євох сенатаац екоун тнутй. 1 й пфі гар ететнафі ймос єунафі ннтй ймоц. Паі пеооу нац йн пноуте фа епег йєпег гамни.

 $<sup>^{173}</sup>$  етве паі  $\omega$  намерате 2 $\omega$ с сансіме же єре поу $\omega$ а) йпноуте 2 $\bar{\mathrm{H}}$  пна марнмере пна. So T. Cf. however Revillout's reading, which begins етве паі  $\omega$ на мерате, and omits марнмере пна at the end. For the sense he derives from this, see the notes to the translation.

## The Sentences of the holy synod<sup>174</sup>

God the Father is good (ἀγαθός). Christ is Lord, and the Holy Spirit is good (ἀγαθός). God has no beginning (P:  $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$ ), nor is there any end to his divinity, for  $(\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho)$  he is himself the beginning (ἀρχή) and the end of all that is. There is no creation (κτίσμα) in the trinity (τριάς) but  $(\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha})$  the Lord himself created all that is. There is no other Lord but he over all his works. He gave freewill (αὐτεξοῦσιον) to those who are in the world so that they their freechoice (προαίρεσις) might be manifest. <sup>175</sup> Free-choice (προαίρεσις) (δέ) brings some to be seated by Christ, and exalts them over (παρά) the angels (ἄγγελος). Others it leads to hell. The demons (δαίμων) are not evil by their nature (φύσις), but by their free-choice (προαίρεσις); as to the angels (ἄγγελος) of God, their free-choice (προαίρεσις) principally exalts them, in goodness (ἀγαθόν), to be entirely well-pleasing (ἀρέσκειν) to God, for their own advancement and for their glorification. For the nature (φύσις) of God has no need (χρεία) of anything that he created,  $^{176}$  rather everything has need (χρεία) of God. Nor has he created anything that might remain in a state of salvation, <sup>177</sup> for everything is saved through the force (ἐνέργεια) of his desire to save it. <sup>178</sup> God has created nothing except (εἰμήτι) by means of his Son, and it is his Spirit which gives growth (αὔξανειν) to all creatures. God loves those who are obedient to him. Those who are obedient to him are upright in their freechoice. A man's free choice is in his deeds. Thus some men are called angels (ἄγγελος) and some called demons (δαίμων).

Somebody who is obedient to God pays attention to the commandments (ἐντολή). Such a person hurries daily<sup>179</sup> to the church. Anyone who does not hurry to the church is neglecting his own salvation; anyone who takes recourse at the feet of God is seeking assistance (βοήθεια). <sup>180</sup> Hurry to church (ἐκκλησία) and afterwards to your work (τέχνη) <sup>181</sup> so that God may bless the work of your hands. Anyone who hurries to work, rather than to the house of God, shall have this considered infidelity. Take hold of what you hear in the house of God before you work or go anywhere, and you will not sin. <sup>182</sup> What has the church (ἐκκλησία) to do with anyone who lends at interest? Somebody who simply sleeps at home is better than that. The church (ἐκκλησία) is called the place where sins are remitted. And everyone should weep on account of their sins. 183 The time on earth is brief. 184 Therefore it is fitting that we should grieve over our sins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> So TB, in T preceded by "discourse of Athanasius", which may, however, related to the preceding life of Athanasius. Cf. P: "These are the canons of the church which Hippolytus, the sainted archbishop of Rome, ordered. These are the sentences of the holy synod."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> "So that... manifest" is omitted in P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> He created T. BP: "That exists."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup> So following Rossi's reading translation; cf. Revillout, who translates "Nothing is created which subsists for its own sake." Rossi suggests that the point is that everything is saved by God, and by no other means.  $^{178}$  "Nor has he created... to save it." Om. P.

<sup>179 &</sup>quot;Daily" is not in T or B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> Or, if the reading of B and P is followed, "is assembling to find assistance."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> Cf. Revillout: "Hâte-toi vers l'Église après ton travail." This is perhaps the more obvious meaning of the

Coptic, but does not fit the context.

182 These two sentences are absent in P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>183</sup> Cf., in this entire passage, the distinct order of P which, as already noted, omits the two sentences following on from "bless the work of your hands" and continues: "For the church is called the place for the remission of sins. What has the church to do with anyone who gives mind to usury? Somebody who simply sleeps at home is better than that, better indeed, Therefore it is fitting that we should grieve over our sins. And everyone should weep in the church."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup>. This sentence is not in P.

The work of the church is simply prayer and intercession. Anyone who speaks in church, especially during reading, is abusing God. What good is it to go to the house of God, only to abuse him there? Yet God is incapable of being abused, his nature is glorious, beyond anything that exists. For anyone who dares  $(\tau o \lambda \mu \tilde{\alpha} v)$  transgress the will of God is escalating his own punishment  $(\tau \mu \omega \rho i \alpha)$ .

Anyone who looks on a woman in church is escalating anger (κόλασις) against himself. A woman who dresses up for church is making a fool of her husband and her father. A woman like this will lose her soul. A woman who dresses herself in gold and goes to church just to make a show is like a worshipper of idols. Gold is valued no more than eye-shadow by the wise. Any woman who wears jewellery on her head is making a display of her stupidity, and whatever woman wears her hair loosened, that it to say in ringlets, is sending out an invitation to the senseless. A woman comes to be loved by God and by men on account of her prudence and the care she takes of her house. A vain beauty is accompanied by hatred. Adorn yourself for your husband by the works of your hands and the moderation of your speech. For the saints called their husbands "My lord." Do not love adornment, women, but remember all those beauties who are in the tomb. Likewise beauty departs from anyone lying on a sickbed. Adorn your souls with the love of God, and give your heart to the word of God, listening well. A wise man will not stay with a foolish wife; 186 any woman who is not obedient to her father and husband is foolish. 187 My son, stay away from women who love adornment (κόσμος), for curls and jewels are indications of adultery. A woman who hates sin may be known by the purity (καθαρός) of her face; a woman who puts on eye-shadow demonstrates her vanity all the more. 188 The body has no need of anything such; to wear such as this is vanity. Where does eye-shadow come from? An attractive appearance (εἰκών) is obtained from the smoke of lamps. 189 Anyone who adorns himself contrary to (παρά) nature (φύσις) is insulting the creator (δημιουργός); cover your face in church and in the streets <sup>190</sup> and give no scandal to any soul. There are those who go about in an evil manner (σχῆμα) thinking that they are drawing attention to themselves; such people are utterly senseless.

A man who shaves his beard desires to be like a child, in ignorance. Those who are without knowledge are without knowledge of themselves. Your clothes should serve  $(\pi \rho \delta \zeta)$  your bodily  $(\sigma \delta \mu \alpha)$  necessities  $(\chi \rho \epsilon i \alpha)$ , and you should not adorn  $(\kappa \sigma \mu \epsilon i \nu)$  yourself with hair, for  $(\gamma \delta \rho)$  this is for women. If you love adornment like a senseless woman, in what way are you any different from her? A man is set over his wife like a governor  $(\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\delta} \nu)$  of a city  $(\pi \delta \lambda i \zeta)$ . A man who loves his sons will instruct his sons well  $(\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \zeta)$ . He will give his daughters instruction in the law  $(\nu \dot{\delta} \mu o \zeta)$ . Be as a governor  $(\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\delta} \nu)$  to your children and you shall have no shame on their account.

If your daughter desires (ἐπιθυμεῖν) a state of virginity (παρθένος) you obtain a mighty grace <sup>192</sup> for on her account you are remembered by the Lord. For (γάρ) the Lord is holy and loves his holy ones. <sup>193</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> This sentence is not in P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup> P reads "man." Presumably an error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> P omits mention of a father.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> Or, if the reading of P is followed, "increases her vanity."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup> Check Zoega catalogues, 576.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup> P adds "o woman" here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup> "...for this is for women." TB. P: "...this is adornment (κόσμησις) for women."

 $<sup>^{192}</sup>$  "Mighty" supplied from B, not in T. P reads: "You have been made worthy of grace on high." Possibly the original was "exalted grace."

A wise virgin is like Mary. 194 Who can speak of the blessedness of the mother of the Lord, whom God loved on account of her deeds?<sup>195</sup> On this account his beloved son dwelt in her.<sup>196</sup> The unbegotten Father is called the Father of Jesus Christ, and this he is in truth. <sup>197</sup> Mary, likewise, is called the Mother of Christ, <sup>198</sup> and in truth she gave birth to the one who formed (πλάσσειν) her. He was not diminished by being borne by Mary, <sup>199</sup> nor for her part <sup>200</sup> did she suffer any loss of her virginity. She gave birth to our Saviour, but for his part he guarded her like a precious treasure. <sup>201</sup> Mary never saw the face of a strange man, on which account she was disturbed on hearing the voice of the angel Gabriel. She ate not for the nourishment of the body (σῶμα) but she<sup>202</sup> ate on account of the necessity (ἀνάγκη) of her nature (φύσις), so that she would not die before her time. <sup>203</sup> She had no knowledge of her own nakedness. She did not put her hands outside, nor ever did she wave (πάλλειν) them. For who at all is worthy of looking upon the face of the one who bore God?<sup>204</sup> She remained (ἀναχωρεῖν) in the house alone, served ( $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \circ \nu \in \mathcal{V}$ ) by angels and by her own mother. <sup>205</sup> If her mother <sup>206</sup> came in to her she was unable to say anything to her concerning what had happened, because she had sworn to herself to inform nobody of this world regarding the matter. She would settle herself with her face turned at all times to the east because she was praying without ceasing. <sup>207</sup> When her brothers desired (ἐπιθυμεῖν) to speak with her she forbore (ἀνέχειν) conversation with them. For angels would approach her frequently; they would look upon her character (χαρακτήρ) and her conduct (πολιτεία) and admire. <sup>208</sup> She would sleep only out of the necessity (χρῆσις) for sleeping and so give no rest to her body. Nor did she ever look upon the nakedness of her own body;<sup>209</sup> if she put on a garment she would close her eyes. Because of the greatness of her purity (ἀγνεία) the way of women was not within her. She was ignorant of much regarding life  $^{210}$  because she distanced herself from the company  $(\delta \mu \iota \lambda i \alpha)^{211}$  of women. The Lord looked out over all his creation and saw nothing resembling her. <sup>212</sup> Therefore he chose her to be his mother. So if anyone desires to be called a virgin<sup>213</sup> she should be like Mary.<sup>214</sup> On this account she is called sister of the mother of the Lord. <sup>215</sup>

```
^{193} So TB; P: For the Lord loves all his holy ones.
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> So T. BP: Let a wise virgin be like Mary. P further adds here "the mother of the Lord."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> TB: P: "... on account of her deeds of goodness."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>196</sup> So TB. Cf. P: He sent his son to be within her.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> "In truth" is not found in T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup> P: "Mother of Jesus Christ the Lord."

<sup>199</sup> P adds "the virgin."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup> "For her part" is not in T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup> So TB; cf. P: She gave birth to our Lord, but in truth. He guarded her like an excellent treasure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup> So T; B, "Mary ate."

 $<sup>^{203}</sup>$  The text of P is utterly distinct from "Mary never saw" to "die before her time." It reads: "She was nourished (τροφή) by the angel (ἄγγελος) Gabriel, for she ate not for the nourishment of the body." Possibly the text read was corrupt and the scribe attempted to make sense of it by ascribing feeding activity to Gabriel.

was corrupt and the series attempted to have series at all worthy to have the advantage (ἀπολαύειν) of looking on the face of Mary the Mother of God (θεοτόκος).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup> So P. TB omit mention of angels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup> "Her mother" P; TB lack a specific subject.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup> So T. B reads: She would settle herself away from the west, her face and her heart turned to the east because she would pray without ceasing. Cf. P: For she prayed without ceasing at all times, both day and night.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup> So TP; cf. B: "Angels would come frequently. They would look upon her, admiring her character and conduct."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup> So T. B: Nor did she ever look upon her nakedness. P omits this entire sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup> So TB. P: "wordly things",

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>211</sup> So P. TB "confession" (*homologia*, probably a copyist's error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> So B. T: "resembling Mary. Cf. however P, tending to support B: "He found nobody who was like her."

<sup>213</sup> B: "...act as a virgin." However note P, supporting T: "... if anyone desires that she should be called a virgin."

A virgin who does not fast (νηστεύειν) daily until the evening lacks the ability to be a virgin (παρθένος). For  $(\gamma \acute{\alpha} \rho)$  a virgin  $(\pi αρθένος)^{216}$  should deserve  $(\kappa ατά)$  her name. It is better to take a husband<sup>217</sup> than to fornicate (πορνεύειν). If she does not wish to marry she should not satiate herself with bread or sleep, so that her lamp  $(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \acute{\alpha} \varsigma)$  should not be extinguished. Virgins (παρθένος) should not adorn (κοσμεῖν) themselves, nor wash their faces. Their first concern  $(\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta\eta)$  is to rise at dawn and to take up a book and read. And if they labour with their hands, this is from the second to the ninth hour of the day. The first two hours are set aside for reading, as is the time from the ninth hour on. <sup>218</sup> For their entire adornment is by means of the word (λόγος). For a virgin who neglects reading (ἀνάγνωσις) will not know 219 what her bridegroom (νυμφίος) desires. What is the good of a fire close to straw? And what is the good of a monache close to a woman who lives with her husband? The passions  $(\pi \acute{\alpha}\theta \circ \varsigma)$ of a monache<sup>220</sup> whose clothes are coloured are similarly unstable. Propriety in dress is in the colour of wool. Whoever dyes her clothes in idleness<sup>221</sup> has a soul which is blackened like a sack. 222 A wise virgin does not speak to men at all; the senseless sports with the young. 223 Just as senseless men give the honourable name of God to idols (εἴδωλον), so they give the name of virgin to senseless women likewise. For the name of virginity is a name of divinity.<sup>224</sup> A virgin  $monache^{225}$  does not look upon gold or pearls (μαργαρίτης); what she possesses is better than both of these. 227 Like somebody who chooses gold from among material things (ὕλη), so is someone who chooses virginity from among the virtues (ἀρετή.) Virginity of the body without training (ἐπιστήμη) of the soul is foolishness. What good is a virgin who stores up gold? Or what the use of one who stores up clothing in boxes whilst boasting of herself "I am a virgin."<sup>228</sup> The place set aside for a virgin (παρθένος) is the treasure of her soul (ψυχή); she who clothes the naked stores up treasure for herself. 229 The value of virginity is submission (ὑποταγή) and renunciation (ἀποταγή) and abstinence. A woman who seeks after food is unworthy of Christ, and it does not satisfy her entirely. The seal (σφραγίς) of virtue is fasting (νηστεία); whoever has an abundance of food has an abundance of weakness. Conduct yourself as a virgin of the Lord, and do not look upon yourself as a married woman, so that

214 "...she should be like Mary" TB: cf. P: "... she should guard her virginity in all things."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup> So P. T: "she is the mother of the Lord", taking the subject to be Mary, B likewise, but also apparently preserving something of P's reading: "She is the sister of the Lord and his mother."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>216</sup> "A virgin", TP. "Virginity" B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>217</sup> P adds "and to take a wife." As suggested in the apparatus this may be a scribal gloss in the light of the statement that this is better than fornication.

 $<sup>^{218}</sup>$  This sentence is absent in B, but present both in P and T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> Cf. P: Will also not know.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup> So TB, P reading "virgin."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>221</sup> "In idleness" is only found in P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>222</sup> Such is the reading of P. TB are less clear; this is one possible reading, though it is also possible to read them as "has a soul which remains like a sack" (presumably empty.) <sup>223</sup> Young: TB; P reads "senseless".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> This sentence is not found in P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup> So TB. P has a further, illegible, word here, possibly "wise."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup> So TB. P has a further "nor", followed by a further illegible word, possibly "silver."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> So TB. P: "... any of these."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>228</sup> So TB. Cf. P: "...whilst boasting of her profession, saying of herself 'I am a virgin.""

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup> So TB. Cf. P: "The storehouse of virginity is in the life of the soul, in that she clothes the naked." Neither text is convincing, but it is hard to determine what the original readings of the underlying texts might have been. A convincing reading might result from combining the two: "The storehouse of virginity is in the life of the soul; she who clothes the naked stores up treasure for herself."  $\theta\eta\sigma\alpha\nu\rho\delta\varsigma$  may have stood in the first clause, translated as "storehouse" by the translator of P, and garbled in transmission in T and P.

you are not judged (κρίνειν) sacrilegious. A monache who promenades at midday is no monache; and if she walks on her own she is coming close to fornication (πορνεία).

A man (δέ) who fornicates (πορνεύειν), whilst having a wife, is worthless, and an infanticide. Any farmer will avoid ( $\alpha \nu \epsilon \chi \epsilon \nu \nu$ ) sowing his seed onto stone ( $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha$ ), <sup>230</sup> for he knows that it will not grow on it. Nor (οὐδέ) likewise does a wise man give of his seed (σπέρμα) to prostitutes ( $\pi o \rho v \dot{\eta}$ ); it will produce nothing, and if indeed it does produce something it will produce a curse. The foolish man guards against giving his clothing to prostitutes, yet is accustomed to give them his precious seed, one precious above any seed, the seed of a man.<sup>231</sup>. But the foolish man holds it in disregard. It is fitting to nourish your children well (καλῶς) and it is fitting to be sparing with your seed. <sup>232</sup> Be sparing with yourself alone, man, and do not be sparing with your children. 233 Man, withdraw your gaze from women and girls and do not look upon your wife alone, so that they do not judge you ill  $(\kappa \alpha \kappa \tilde{\omega} \varsigma)$ . <sup>234</sup> Why would a man, married to a woman, look upon another?<sup>235</sup> For if a man who is unmarried since twice over in looking upon a woman, this is especially so (μάλιστα) of one who is married. <sup>236</sup> He is demonstrating, through so looking, that he is a fornicator. 237 For it is written: "A fornicator will not inherit (κληρονομεῖν) the Kingdom of God."<sup>238</sup> It is right that you should love your wife and be content with her. 239 For she is like all other women. He who stays with his wife <sup>240</sup> alone shall enjoy peaceableness with her, <sup>241</sup> and not the one with a roving eye. <sup>242</sup> With the measure with which you measure it will be measured out for you. 243 He who lives with his wife in accordance with (κατά) the purpose of marriage (γάμος) will see wise children, and whoever remains chaste at the time sunage(;) will be honoured by his children. Whoever honours the Lord in truth, so will his sons honour him in truth. 244 There is no better

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup> So T, although a half line has been rubbed out. B and P, whilst distinct, are close. "Any farmer will avoid sowing his wheat onto stone" (B) and "Anyone will avoid sowing his seed onto stone." (P).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>231</sup> So BP, except that "prostitutes" is given in the singular in these versions (whereas T has a plural, as here). T is distinct, though heavily marked by corrections, which Revillout suspects, and so gives the text of B in his edition, which is nonetheless based on T. T, however, is transcribed intact by Rossi. If T is followed the passage would read: "A wise man guards against giving his clothing to prostitutes, so would he give them his seed, precious above all seeds?" Revillout, in a footnote to his translation of T suggests that what lay behind this passage originally was a statement like "A wise man is careful not to give of his seed to prostitutes, but gives his precious seed solely for procreation." This is feasible, in view of the earlier discussion of farmers not sowing on stone, but there is no textual basis. As it is, it is hard to judge between the texts as given.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup> So TB. P, whilst almost identical in meaning, differs slightly in reading "It is fitting for you to nourish your children well and to be sparing with your seed."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup> So T. Om. P. B: Be sparing with yourself alone, man, and do not (sic) be sparing with polluting yourself. <sup>234</sup> So P. Om. TB. I suspect some confusion; a man is to look only on his wife.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup> So T, and B (though reading "another woman" instead of simply "another." Cf. P: It is improper that anyone who is married to a wife should marry another." TB seems to make the better sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> So TBP with minor variations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup> So TB; om. P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup> So P, cf. TB: "A fornicator, it is said, shall not inherit the Kingdom of Heaven."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> So P, Cf. TB: "Be content with your wife."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup> So BP. T reads "a wife."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup> So T. B is of the same meaning, whilst slightly different in expression, whereas P reads "he shall enjoy peace contentedly (καλῶς) with his children." Whereas TB would seem to make more sense, the reading of P may be preferred on the basis that the reading of TB may be seen as a corruption of that of P. <sup>242</sup> So TB. Cf. P: "and not the one who looks upon his wife."

Matt 7:2. The different mss cite this saying in different ways. P is particularly distinct: "for the measure which a man measures, so it will be measured out for him, himself."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup> So TB. Cf P: ...whoever remains chaste at the time sunage will be honoured by his children in truth, just as he himself honours the Lord in truth. The text of P may result from a misreading of a version or Greek Vorlage of TB, with the resultant omission of material.

relief in life for the heart of a man than the possession of wise sons, free of illness and strong. 245 He will grant this to whomsoever is a servant of the Lord in truth. Accustom your son to the church (ἐκκλησία), and not to take pleasure in drinking-places. <sup>246</sup> Teach him to learn in silence, and in the time of your old age he will comfort you with the words of God. There are men who obtain<sup>247</sup> old age in the truth, for<sup>248</sup> the lifespan of liars<sup>249</sup> is brief. It is a wonder if somebody's life (βίος) were nine-hundred years of age because they are found not to sin at all. <sup>250</sup> Those people who commit no sin receive length of days. <sup>251</sup> Those who love the truth are not ignorant of God. For  $(\gamma \acute{\alpha} \rho)$  deception is ignorant of itself. <sup>252</sup> No Christian (χριστιανός) should be deceptive, for ignorance is a deception. <sup>253</sup>

For it is not fitting for a man who is deceptive to participate in the Body ( $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ) of Christ.<sup>254</sup> For God is truth and those who love God do not deceive. <sup>255</sup>

What a wonder is the daring (τολμηρός) of those who approach the body (σῶμα) of  $Christ^{256}$ whilst filled with envy and hatred. God is a lover of humanity, and anyone who hates humanity is without shame in his heart for God, nor  $(o\mathring{0}\delta\acute{\epsilon})$  is there any fear in his heart.  $^{257}$ Those who hate one another hate God and reject (ἀποτάσσω) him as they say "Do not love us." Woe (οὐαί)<sup>258</sup> to those who hate the image (εἰκών) of God. Anyone who does evil to a human being is doing it to themself. There is no pleasure (ήδονή) in the passion (πάθος) of hatred, and it is strange that it should have power over us all. 259 Whoever loves his neighbour loves God. And whoever loves God will be loved of God. And whoever God loves is a child of God. The man who turns away from the body of Christ is an abomination before God, <sup>260</sup> making the excuse that he is not worthy. Whoever does not make himself worthy <sup>261</sup> of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup> So T. P: "strong and free of illness."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> "He will grant this to whomsoever is a servant of the Lord in truth. Accustom your son to the church, and not to affection for a drinking-place." So TB. Cf. P: "To whomsoever is a servant of the Lord in truth will he grant that you might accustom your son to the church and not to a place of drinking wine." This latter construction is, however, rather awkward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> So TB (though B has a copyist's error.) P: "He pledges to men..."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup> For: so TP. B: "yet."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> "Liars" T. B: "The falsehood". P: "Blasphemers."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> So B. T is slightly defective, but what is readable supports B. Cf P: For (gar) it is a wonder when somebody's life (bios) is three-hundred years because they are are found not to commit sin at all. In particular the difference in the number of years is notable. There is no basis to decide which is the more likely, though the term of ninehundred puts one in mind of the patriarchal narratives, and the assertions of Augustine that the greater ages of the patriarchs was a result of the relative lack of sin in the world.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup> So TB. P is utterly distinct.: "Any person who sins in these times enjoys no length of life." It is possible that both clauses stood originally, and that each branch of the manuscript tradition has omitted one of them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> So B. Cf. T: "God is not ignorant of those who are ignorant of him. For ignorance is a deception." In neither clause is it easy to see what the right reading should be and, as noted below, there is no guidance from P which omits the passage altogether. B has been preferred because the second clause of T, albeit with a different expression, repeats the content of the final clause of the passage, present in both.

P omits the whole passage from "Those who love the truth" to "ignorance is a deception."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>254</sup> So TP. Cf. B: "A deceptive man should not approach the Body of Christ, and it is not fitting that he should be a deceiver."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>255</sup> "For God is truth... do not deceive" om. P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> "Of Christ" TP. "Of the Lord" B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> So P. "In his heart for God... in his heart" om. TB.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup> So TB. P "For woe is..."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>259</sup> "Anyone who does evil... over us all." TB. Om. P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup> So TB. Cf. P: The man who flees from the body and blood of Christ is a tribulation(?) before God.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup> P, whilst having the same meaning, employs the Greek *axios*, whereas TB employ Coptic terminology.

mystery (μυστήριον) shall be greatly punished. 262 What is the use of attending the gathering (συνάγω) and not listening to the scriptures (γραφή). For (γάρ) whoever does not listen to the reading (ἀνάγνωσις) of the apostle (ἀπόστολος) and the Gospel (εὐαγγέλιον)<sup>263</sup> is making a mockery of the mystery of Christ.<sup>264</sup> Whoever does not observe (αἰσθάνεσθαι) the power  $(αὐθεντία)^{265}$  of the body (σῶμα) and the blood of Christ<sup>266</sup> shall not receive in his heart, <sup>267</sup> but (δέ) whoever believes (πιστεύειν) in it shall hurry to the church (ἐκκλησία.) <sup>268</sup> For the devout man is grieved (λυπεῖν) if there is prayer or singing (ψάλλειν) before he arrives at church. <sup>270</sup> However the careless (αμελής) one is usually last and receives no blessing. Anyone who hurries to church receives a double blessing; anyone who is late except of (χωρίς) necessity (ἀνάγκη) is too late to be blessed. It is right to receive the body and the blood of Christ as a little child thirsts for his mother's milk. For  $(\gamma \alpha \rho)$  whoever does not receive it in his heart has no life in him. However ( $\delta \epsilon$ ) whoever receives it whilst polluted by hatred or by the impurity (ἀκαθαρσία) of fornication (πορνεία) does evil in receiving.  $^{271}$  There is no sin as bad in the sight of God as hatred; for from this murder comes about. Whoever goes on the path of sin, contrary to (παρά) nature (φύσις), is a brother of anyone who hates. Love (ἀγάπη) washes every sin away, but hate, on the other hand, undoes virtue (arete). Love  $(\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\pi\eta)$  is fitting (πρεπεῖν) for those who are Christians (χριστιανός), for (γάρ) whoever receives Christ should also receive his will.

Love (ἀγάπη) does not have acquaintance, for love acknowledges all people. The goal of love is to do good to all people; anyone who does good to one who hates him will be like God. Anyone with no love  $(\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\pi\eta)$  in his heart will receive no reward. Anyone who does good to his enemies shall receive a crown which will not fade. When somebody does good to his enemy, how shall he not do good to all? Yet  $(\delta \hat{\epsilon})$  good is not done simply through extensive almsgiving (chrema); for (γάρ) good may be done entirely through a cup of cold water or a single<sup>272</sup> loaf. It is shameful that somebody who has two garments<sup>273</sup> should be neglectful of somebody who has none. If we have communion (κοινωνία) with one another in life, how much more so (πόσω μᾶλλον) in death. Be loving to people, for we are all pilgrims. There is nothing which will save a person from chastisement (κόλασις) like love (ἀγάπη). Be loving to people while (hews) you live; you are not here for long. How long is life on the earth? Do not spend in vanity. For the wise (σοφός) there is a better day, and he rejoices in the utility of every day. However ( $\delta \epsilon$ ) the foolish lets his life run away each day, and when destruction comes he has nothing in his hand. The man who is wise avoids saying any word of abuse, whereas ( $\delta \epsilon$ ) the foolish utters all kinds of calumny. A man is to be judged ( $\kappa \rho i \nu \epsilon \nu$ ) by the words of his mouth; the thoughts of his heart will likewise not be forgotten.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>262</sup> B omits "greatly."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup> So P. TB: "Reading of the Gospel."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup> "Mystery of Christ" P. TB: "Mystery".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>265</sup> Rather than using these Greek words, P employs Coptic words. Rossi, 88, rather oddly translates the word as "sacrificio."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>266</sup> "Of Christ" TP. B: "Of Jesus Christ."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>267</sup> So TP. B: Shall not receive it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>268</sup> So TB. Cf. P: "but whoever believes, on the other hand, with all his heart, shall hurry to be early in the church."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>269</sup> P breaks off here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>270</sup> So T. Cf. B: "For the devout man is grieved if there is singing before he arrives."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>271</sup> "does evil in receiving" renders B. See the apparatus for T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>272</sup> "Single" T, om. B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>273</sup> B concludes here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup> Here following Rossi's reading of T. Were Revillout to be followed, the phrase would be rendered:

<sup>&</sup>quot;...whereas (δέ) the foolish utters all kinds of things."

Woe to the man who forgets himself. You have given yourself divinization on the basis of your deeds  $(\pi\rho\tilde{\alpha}\xi\iota\varsigma)$ ; your very carelessness  $(\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\alpha)$  has caused you to assume the likeness of a demon  $(\delta\alpha\dot{\iota}\mu\omega\nu)$ , for indeed  $(\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho)$  it is their carelessness  $(\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\alpha)$  which has made them thus. A careless  $(\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\lambda\dot{\eta}\varsigma)$  man is destroyed in oblivion. Who will bring to life anyone who is careless regarding his own salvation? Anyone who is concerned for his salvation spends the night within the doorway of the house of God and is not ignorant of what is to be read. Anyone who is ignorant of the reading  $(\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu\omega\sigma\iota\varsigma)$  is a beast. Whoever applies his heart to the reading does not sin. Anyone who loves the word of God loves God. Anyone who troubles himself with this is a friend of God.

Anyone who comes to the Body (σῶμα) of the Lord in a state of drunkenness angers God; <sup>276</sup> anyone who receives it whilst drunk loses his own life. There is a time for eating and drinking in accordance with (κατά) proper moderation; there is a time for the mystery (μυστήριον), and it is fitting to undertake this with great carefulness. Anyone who receives the body and blood of Christ in purity recives a great essence (οὐσία), <sup>277</sup> and a great power to raise the dead. It is easier to raise the dead than to persuade (πείθειν) a heretic (αἰρετικός.) For (γάρ) heretics (αἰρετικός) have no belief in God, nor (οὕτε) in the saints, but (ἀλλά) in their own desires. Any desire, however (δέ), which does not come from the Holy Spirit leads to hell.

The sun in the heights is a wonderful thing, but it is nothing compared to the glory of God. [Like a spark before a furnace]<sup>278</sup> so is the sun before the glory of God. Just as no man is able to look directly at the sun, slight (ευτελής) as is its size, so nobody is able to look upon the greatness (μέγεθος) of God, for (γάρ) nobody looks upon his face and lives. For (γάρ) he has established, it says, his place in the sun, yet ( $\delta \epsilon$ ) his place is light in truth. If nobody is able to look upon the essence (οὐσία) of the son, because of the dwelling place of God which is within it, even though ( $\kappa\alpha(\pi\epsilon\rho)$ ) it is insignificant before the face of the glory of God...<sup>279</sup> For (γάρ) it gives its heat so that fruit (καρπός) may ripen, <sup>280</sup> nor (οὐδέ) would creation (κτίσις) survive  $^{2\bar{8}1}$  without it, for (γάρ) God has established (καθιστιέναι) it to have authority (ἐξουσία) over the day. If that which is created is so to be honoured, how much does the one who created it surpass it. Since all the nations ( $\check{\epsilon}\theta vo\varsigma$ ) are as a cup of water from the sea (θάλασσα) compared to the glory of God, how much more is he to be exalted and honoured, compared to all he created on our account. For (γάρ) God is immeasurable (ἀμέτρητος) and he fills the universe. (Seated) upon the cherubim he leaves the universe in fear. He is our whole desire (ἐπιθυμία). For (γάρ) who ever bears the sweetness of God? On account of the sweetness the saints pray to him, though they do not see him. He gives only partial (μέρος) instruction to those who are persuaded ( $\pi \epsilon i\theta \epsilon i \nu$ ) only a little. [ ]<sup>282</sup> On this account it is said: "Who would resist love ( $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\pi\eta$ ) and would anyone not desire the good things concealed in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup> There is a slight lacuna in the text at this point, but there is sufficient to form sense.

 $<sup>^{276}</sup>$  Such is the literal translation. The expression is slightly awkward, however and, especially in the light of the following clause, we might follow Revillout and Rossi who translate "Anyone who comes to the Body of the Lord as though to a drinking-bout..." There is insufficient basis to emend the text, but it is possible that the confusion entered in the process of translation, were the introductory conjunction ἵvα rendered as  $g\bar{N}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>277</sup> Ousia is certainly the right reading, though Revillout, unaccountably, reads ouōm (food).

A line and a half-line following have been erased and illegibly rewritten. The bracketed material is purely conjectural, based on a suggestion of Revillout, followed apparently by Rossi.

Three largely illegible lines follow. Some words can be read but nothing which makes coherent sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup> T is damaged here, and the verb is conjectural, based on sense.

 $<sup>^{281}\,\</sup>mathrm{T}$  is damaged here, and the verb, once again, is conjectural.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup> Approximately four lines here are illegible.

his body (σῶμα) and his blood?" So they call it the "sacred mystery (μυστήριον)." Anyone who dares (τολμᾶν) to receive it without being purified is guilty (ένοχος) of the body (σῶμα) and the blood of the Lord. This is the sanctification (ἀγιασμός) which a man may receive in his heart and love.

Firstly,  $^{284}$  whatever you would not want to befall you, do not do to anybody else. And be loving to your neighbour. This is the law (νόμος) and the prophets (προφήτης). A man who does injustice to his neighbour has no communion (κοινωνία) with the saviour (σωτήρ.) Anyone who neglects his neighbour in nakedness will be neglected in nakedness at the tribunal (βῆμα) of Christ. Anyone who approaches the altar (θυσιαστήριον) defiled with adultery will be delivered to unquenchable fire. For (γάρ) the man who is a fornicator (πόρνος)...  $^{285}$ 

Keep your eyes from looking upon vanities. Keep your tongues from cursing (καταλαλία). Keep your ears from hearing vanities. Keep your mouth from shameful and fearful oaths. Each of you should lay up mercy to his neighbour, each of you should strive (σπουδάζειν) to keep the commandments (ἐντολή), to find the way to come to the house of God for prayer. For (γάρ) if you enter the house of God wearing the colours of the devil (διάβολος), how are you to keep the commandments (ἐντολή) of God? How can you pray in the house of God? You fast, but your heart is not upright, nor are your hands clean. Wearing these colours you will never be able to bear the commandments (ἐντολή) which are the public (treasury) (δημόσιον) of the church (ἐκκλησία). "I fast (νηστεία)" you say. You labour in vain. Your body (σῶμα) is full of lust (πορνεία), your heart full of impurity, your soul (ψυχή)... <sup>286</sup> The tongue full of cursing (καταλαλία), the hands full of blood, the feet pursuing evil (κακία), the mouth exulting in violence, the ears listening out for anything disgusting. You are a friend of clowns, you direct your feet to fortune-tellers, you go to those who make incantations, you feed those who make philtres (φαρμακός), you keep company with blasphemers, you mix with gluttons, your hand is one with robbers. The ship is filled with a cargo of all kinds of evil (κακία), and you say "I fast (νηστεία), I pray." Is it not on account of all these evils that the prophet (προφήτης) cries out: "You have made the house of prayer a cave (σπήλειον) of thieves"? He also says: "If you stretch out your hands towards me I shall turn my eyes away, for your hands are full of blood." It is also written: "My soul (ψυχή) hates your fasting and abstinence." Jeremiah the prophet (προφήτης) also said: "Is a hyena's nest my inheritance (κληρονομία)?"<sup>287</sup> For (γάρ) (he says) to us through the prophets (προφήτης) "I have sent in the midst of your city (πόλις), I have burnt your household goods<sup>288</sup> in the midst of your square (πλατεῖα), and you have not turned yourselves to me, says the Lord. I have struck your children and your youth with a violent death, and you have not turned yourselves to me, says the Lord. I have sent disease on all the fruit (καρπός) in your land, and still you have not turned yourself to me, says the Lord. I have destroyed you as (κατά) I destroyed Sodom and Gomorrah, and still you have not turned to me, says the Lord."<sup>289</sup> Are all these things not very much upon us today, yet (δέ) you take no notice (αἰσθάνεσται), like somebody who is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup> There is significant corruption in this line. Rossi's reconstruction is followed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup> There is some corruption here, and at least one word is untranslated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup> Three and a half lines follow which have been largely erased and are illegible. A few words may be made out, ("fasting", and in the following line "darkness") but nothing from which coherent sense may emerge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup> Five illegible lines follow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>287</sup> The following three lines are largely effaced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup> The translation follows the suggestion of Rossi that the word here *sanhou* is a corrupt form of *sanhoun*. Lammeyer rather desperately translates as "monastic rule", whereas Revillout leaves the word untranslated. <sup>289</sup> Cf. Amos 4:11.

foolish... <sup>290</sup> They would say in times of old  $(αρχαῖος)^{291}$  of the hyena that it was an impure animal (θηρίον), in that it would change its nature (φυσικόν) into another nature (φύσις). At one time (καιρός) it would be male, at another time (καιρός) female. We too, brothers, are changeable in our nature (φύσις) like the hyena. At times (μέν) we go running to the church (ἐκκλησία), we extend our hands in our prayer and our fasting (νηστεία). At times, then (δέ) we have recourse to fortune-tellers, to those who make philtres (φαρμακός) and to sorcerers saying "Be our protection." Beloved, how is God not angered with us, how nor pour out his wrath (ὀργή) upon us? How can he look upon our fasting and our prayer? Is it not on account of such wicked deeds that God cries out to us through the prophet (προφήτης) Jeremiah: "It is on this account that I do not visit you, says the Lord. Why does my soul (ψυχή) not take revenge on a people (ἔθνος) like this? What indeed is the vengeance that God will take upon us unless we repent (μετανοεῖν)... Repentance (μετάνοια δέ) conquers every sin in truth. The sign of repentance (μετάνοια) is tears; tears (δέ) wipe away sins.

Instruct your son not to keep company with sinners and he will advance (προκόπτεσθει) in accordance with your will. He should seek after the instruction of the teachers in the church (ἐκκλησία), he should examine their teaching in his heart. Let your mouth be familiar with the word of God and journey with the wise. Do not familiarize your mouth with oaths, and do not curse anyone who is in the image (καθίκων) of God. Seek out blessing, and let a blessing be in your mouth. Do not be abusive to anyone at all, and if you do not wish anyone to insult you, do not yourself insult.

Honour an elder and give him your seat to sit on.

Be humble to all and nobody will do you harm.

Do not make anyone weary, and do not ask (αἰτεῖν) from a rich man twice.

If you have bread, share it with your neighbour.

Visit the sick, and go also to visit those who are imprisoned. Even if  $(\kappa \check{\alpha} \nu)$  you are rich perform your sacred duty  $(\check{\alpha} \gamma \iota \alpha \kappa \circ \nu \check{\alpha})$  on your feet.

Be like Abraham; he was likewise wealthy in worldly goods (ὑπάρχοντα), and on account of his hospitality was found worthy to dine with God. Above all else be merciful always so that somebody may pity you.

The head (ἄρχων) of the town (πόλις), his are all things in the town (πόλις). On this account it is not fitting that he should begrudge (φθονεῖν) anyone. It is all the more fitting that anyone who is rich should act faithfully (πιστός), and spend time in the church (ἐκκλησία). God loves anyone who pities the poor one who is naked as though he built a sanctuary (τόπος) in his name; a rich man who pities the poor is enriched by God. The poor man rejoices when a rich man addresses him; he hopes (ἐλπίζειν) for some consolation from him. It is fitting for a rich man to rejoice the more when he meets the poor man and he should be glad that he has had companionship with a man of God. The wise ruler (ἄρχων), his is the wealth of the world

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>290</sup> Several lines are missing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>291</sup> It is also possible, given the corruption, that there was a subject, such as "people", and that the adjective "ancient" stood in apposition to this missing subject.

(κόσμος); the poor man, however, his is the wealth of the other age (αἰών) which is the kingdom of the heavens.

A fearful thing is God with his glory. The glory of God fills the universe. Who is there who can escape his eye? The foolish thinks that there is nobody who sees him. He does not know that in life it is a dinner-table ( $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \tau \eta \varsigma$ ).

Man, fear God. Serve him with your will (προαίρεσις). Reveal your mind (νοῦς) to him. Call upon him in pity for the poor.

Give your bread to anyone who is hungry. And hurry to the church (ἐκκλησία). And stretch out your hand to the poor daily. And give to him in accordance (κατά) your ability. Make an offering (προσφορά) in the house of God, and hurry to bring the firstfruits (ἀπαρχή) of your produce (γέννημα) to the priest. Give for your soul (ψυχή) while (ὄσον) you live, for (γάρ) when a man dies his word does not remain. If those who do not have anything except the thing which is of their hands have charity...<sup>292</sup> blame him ...<sup>293</sup> since he blames the poor man, because he is not compassionate. What indeed will it be for a rich man who piles gold upon gold until it rusts, and fills coffers with clothes until they are moth-eaten? On this account, my beloved, as (ώς) we know what the will of God is with regard to mercy, let us love mercy. <sup>294</sup> It is said that <sup>295</sup> compassion is more highly exalted than judgement (κρισις). For, he says, <sup>296</sup> "Blessed are the compassionate, compassion will be shown to them." The Lord Jesus said: "Be merciful, because your Father is merciful. Do not judge (κρίνειν) so that you be not judged (κρίνειν). Do not condemn, that you be not condemned. Forgive, and you will be forgiven. Give, and it will be given to you. A measure, goodly, plentiful, pressed down, overflowing, will be poured into your lap. For  $(\gamma \acute{\alpha} \rho)$  with the measure with which you measure, will it be measured for yourselves.

So to him be glory, and to God, to the ages of the ages. Amen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>292</sup> A half line is missing here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup> A further half line is missing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>294</sup> "On this account… love mercy." Cf., however, Revillout's rendering, based on a rather odd reading of T (on which see the notes to the text): "Ne savons nous pas à ce sujet que le volonté de Dieu est la misericorde?" <sup>295</sup> Or "He says" (followed by direct speech).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>296</sup> Or "It is said" (followed by indirect speech).